UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,477	01/25/2007	David L. Epstein	017425-9004-01	4348
	7590 08/03/201 ST & FRIEDRICH LL:	EXAMINER		
100 E WISCON	ISIN AVENUE	FAY, ZOHREH A		
Suite 3300 MILWAUKEE,	, WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/580,477	EPSTEIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ZOHREH A. FAY	1612
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-20 and 36-48 is/a 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 and 21-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a 	are withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	0 There : 0	(PTO 442)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/14/2006, 5/8/2009, 10/14/2009. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-48 are pending in the instant application.

Claims 1-16 and 21-35 are presented for examination.

The response to the restriction requirement of April 30, 2010 has been received and entered. Applicant elected Group I, without traverse for examination purpose.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-16 and 21-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims are drawn to a method of reducing the risk of glaucoma and treating or inhibiting the progression of glaucoma using a HMG-CoA inhibitor. The specification discloses examples of structures of some compounds within the scope of what is claimed. However there is no evidence that there is any per se structure/function relationship between the disclosed HMG-CoA inhibitor and any others that might be found using the claimed method. Structural identifying characteristics of group of HMG-CoA inhibitors are not disclosed. Therefore, the claimed invention is not supported by an adequate written description. To provide adequate written description and evidence of possession of the claimed genus, the

Art Unit: 1612

specification must provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the genus. The factors to be considered include disclosure of complete or partial structure, physical and /or chemical properties, Functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, or any combination thereof.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 4

Art Unit: 1612

Claims 1-16 and 21-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lockhart et al. (US 2004/0248972).

Lockhart et al. teach the use of the claimed statin compounds for the treatment of visual disorders, such as glaucoma. See page Para [0131], [0135], claims 16 and 18. The topical ophthalmic administration is taught in Para [0159]. The addition of other therapeutic agents in combination with the statin is taught in Para [0147]. Lockhart et al. differs from the claimed invention in teaching a different pathway, such as PDE6. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to use the claimed compounds for the treatment of glaucoma, motivated by the teachings of Lockhart et al., which teach the use of such compounds for the treatment of glaucoma. The use of the same compounds as claimed herein for the treatment of glaucoma is expected to inhibit the HMG-CoA pathway.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZOHREH A. FAY whose telephone number is (571)272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fredrick Krass can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,477 Page 5

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ZF /Zohreh A Fay/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612